Erfasste Fragebögen = 8843

Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

**Legende**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fragetext</th>
<th>Relative Häufigkeiten der Antworten</th>
<th>Std.-Abw.</th>
<th>Mittelwert</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Skala</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Linker Pol</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rechter Pol</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Histogramm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**1. University wide section**

1.1) Gender:

- Female: 56.4%  
  n=7617
- Male: 43.6%

1.2) The contents of the course were very interesting.

- I strongly agree: 41.7%  
  I strongly disagree: 2%  
  n=7672  
  mw=1.9  
  s=1

1.3) This particular course was very important in its contribution towards reaching the goals of the academic program.

- I strongly agree: 44.1%  
  I strongly disagree: 2.5%  
  n=7669  
  mw=1.9  
  s=1

1.4) The lecturer was motivating.

- I strongly agree: 47%  
  I strongly disagree: 3.3%  
  n=7671  
  mw=1.9  
  s=1,1

1.5) Overall, I would rate the course as

- very good: 40%  
  very bad: 1.6%  
  n=7644  
  mw=1.9  
  s=1

**2. Questions by director of studies programme**

2.1) The room was sufficiently well-equipped with functional technology.

- I strongly agree: 83.1%  
  I strongly disagree: 0.6%  
  n=7600  
  mw=1.2  
  s=0.6
2.2) How frequently did the lecturer send a replacement?

- 0x: 87.5% (n=7471)
- 1x: 6.6%
- 2x: 2%
- 3x: 1.1%
- 4x: 0.7%
- 5x: 0.4%
- 6x: 0.3%
- 7x: 0.3%
- 8x and more times: 1.2%

2.3) How many classes were cancelled and not rescheduled?

- 0x: 89.3% (n=7521)
- 1x: 8.2%
- 2x: 1.5%
- 3x: 0.5%
- 4x: 0.3%
- 5x: 0.1%
- 6x: 0%
- 7x: 0.1%
- 8x and more times: 0.1%

2.4) The lecturer provided a well-structured course.

- I strongly disagree: 57.2%
- I strongly agree: 27.4%
- n=7650

2.5) The lecturer focuses on the students and their levels of knowledge.

- I strongly disagree: 52.2%
- I strongly agree: 26.6%
- n=7405

2.6) The course was held in the announced language.

- Yes: 99.2% (n=7504)
- No: 0.8%

2.7) Complex subject matter and examples were explained in a comprehensible manner.

- I strongly disagree: 51.5%
- I strongly agree: 29.5%
- n=7644

2.8) The lecturer gave useful feedback on questions, suggestions raised and contributions made by the students.

- I strongly disagree: 62%
- I strongly agree: 24.5%
- n=7565

2.9) Appropriate information (e.g. syllabus) was provided about objectives, content, assessment criteria and methods of assessment.

- Yes: 94.8% (n=7340)
- No: 5.2%
2.10) The content of the syllabus corresponds to the course content.

2.11) Have you already covered any content of this course in other courses?

2.13) I had sufficient prior knowledge to be able to follow the course comfortably.

2.15) The number of students attending the course

2.16) The students participated in the course.
Profillinie

1. University wide section

1.2) The contents of the course were very interesting.
I strongly agree | I strongly disagree
n=7672 mw=1,9 md=2,0 s=1,0

1.3) This particular course was very important in its contribution towards reaching the goals of the academic program.
I strongly agree | I strongly disagree
n=7669 mw=1,9 md=2,0 s=1,0

1.4) The lecturer was motivating.
I strongly agree | I strongly disagree
n=7671 mw=1,9 md=2,0 s=1,1

1.5) Overall, I would rate the course as
very good | very bad
n=7644 mw=1,9 md=2,0 s=1,0

2. Questions by director of studies programme

2.1) The room was sufficiently well-equipped with functional technology.
I strongly agree | I strongly disagree
n=7600 mw=1,2 md=1,0 s=0,6

2.4) The lecturer provided a well-structured course.
I strongly agree | I strongly disagree
n=7650 mw=1,7 md=1,0 s=0,9

2.5) The lecturer focuses on the students and their levels of knowledge.
I strongly agree | I strongly disagree
n=7405 mw=1,8 md=1,0 s=1,0

2.7) Complex subject matter and examples were explained in a comprehensible manner.
I strongly agree | I strongly disagree
n=7644 mw=1,8 md=1,0 s=1,0

2.8) The lecturer gave useful feedback on questions, suggestions raised and contributions made by the students.
I strongly agree | I strongly disagree
n=7565 mw=1,6 md=1,0 s=0,9

2.10) The content of the syllabus corresponds to the course content.
I strongly agree | I strongly disagree
n=7411 mw=1,4 md=1,0 s=0,7

2.13) I had sufficient prior knowledge to be able to follow the course comfortably.
I strongly agree | I strongly disagree
n=7452 mw=1,9 md=2,0 s=1,0

2.16) The students participated in the course.
I strongly agree | I strongly disagree
n=7387 mw=1,9 md=2,0 s=1,0