




# Vergleichszahlen

SPL004-FB1eW14 ()  
Erfasste Fragebögen = 10830

## Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

### Legende

Frage**text**




### 1. University wide section


1.1) Gender:




1.2) The contents of the course were very interesting.




1.3) This particular course was very important in its contribution towards reaching the goals of the academic program.



1.4) The lecturer was motivating.




1.5) Overall, I would rate the course as




### 2. Questions by director of studies programme


2.1) The room was sufficiently well-equipped with functional technology.




2.2) How frequently did the lecturer send a replacement?




2.3) How many classes were cancelled and not rescheduled?



2.4) The lecturer provided a well-structured course.




2.5) The lecturer focuses on the students and their levels of knowledge.




2.6) The course was held in the announced language.



2.7) Complex subject matter and examples were explained in a comprehensible manner.




2.8) The lecturer gave useful feedback on questions, suggestions raised and contributions made by the students.



2.9) Appropriate information (e.g. syllabus) was provided about objectives, content, assessment criteria and methods of assessment.




2.10) The content of the syllabus corresponds to the course content.




2.11) Have you already covered any content of this course in other courses?




2.13) I had sufficient prior knowledge to be able to follow the course comfortably.



2.15) The number of students attending the course



2.16) The students participated in the course.







# Profillinie

Zusammenstellung: SPL004-FB1eW14

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

## 1. University wide section

1.2) The contents of the course were very interesting.	I strongly agree		I strongly disagree	n=10036 mw=2,0 md=2,0 s=1,0
1.3) This particular course was very important in its contribution towards reaching the goals of the academic program.	I strongly agree		I strongly disagree	n=10097 mw=2,0 md=2,0 s=1,0
1.4) The lecturer was motivating.	I strongly agree		I strongly disagree	n=10031 mw=2,0 md=2,0 s=1,1
1.5) Overall, I would rate the course as	very good		very bad	n=10061 mw=2,0 md=2,0 s=1,0

## 2. Questions by director of studies programme

2.1) The room was sufficiently well-equipped with functional technology.	I strongly agree		I strongly disagree	n=9982 mw=1,3 md=1,0 s=0,7
2.4) The lecturer provided a well-structured course.	I strongly agree		I strongly disagree	n=10045 mw=1,7 md=1,0 s=0,9
2.5) The lecturer focuses on the students and their levels of knowledge.	I strongly agree		I strongly disagree	n=9769 mw=1,9 md=2,0 s=1,0
2.7) Complex subject matter and examples were explained in a comprehensible manner.	I strongly agree		I strongly disagree	n=10012 mw=1,9 md=2,0 s=1,0
2.8) The lecturer gave useful feedback on questions, suggestions raised and contributions made by the students.	I strongly agree		I strongly disagree	n=9936 mw=1,7 md=1,0 s=0,9
2.10) The content of the syllabus corresponds to the course content.	I strongly agree		I strongly disagree	n=9687 mw=1,4 md=1,0 s=0,7
2.13) I had sufficient prior knowledge to be able to follow the course comfortably.	I strongly agree		I strongly disagree	n=9803 mw=1,9 md=2,0 s=1,0
2.16) The students participated in the course.	I strongly agree		I strongly disagree	n=9703 mw=2,0 md=2,0 s=1,0